

**PRESIDENT’S BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**May 16, 2019**

**MINUTES**

**Voting Members Present:    Area Budget Reps Present:    Staff Present:    Guests Present:**

Joe Johnson  
Steve Welter  
Brittany Santos-Derieg  
Christy Samarkos  
Donna Conaty  
Marcie Bober-Michele  
Adrienne Vargas  
Agnes Wong Nickerson

Radmila Prislin  
Tony Chung  
Crystal Little  
Leslie Levinson

Sheryl Necochea  
Nance Lakdawala

**Voting Members Absent:    Area Budget Reps Absent**

Cezar Ornatowski  
Chris Thomas

**I. Call to order**

- Call for amendments to agenda – Interim VP Wong Nickerson called the meeting to order at 2:03 p.m. and asked if there were any amendments to the agenda but there were none.

**II. Information Item - none**

**III. Reports – none**

**IV. Watch List - none**

- Master Plan Costs
- Graduation Initiative 2025
- IT Governance
- Infrastructure (electrical/steam)
- Mission Valley

**V. 2019/20 Budget**

Interim VP Wong Nickerson stated the May Revise came out. We’re hoping for more funding for GI2025 but we are still at \$45M. Some money was allotted for homeless and critical needs students. Regarding our SDSU budget – we were expecting \$8.5M new base funding and \$30M one-time funding but our non-resident enrollment is down. The number of applications is higher but intent to enroll is lower. Interim AVP Little is still calculating the new base which will be lower than \$8.5M. VP’s will take another look at the funding requests on Monday.

## **VI. Discussion Item - 2019/20 Budget Process – Future Recommendations**

- The process is still evolving. AR&P recently had a general discussion about the challenges of the process. There are huge differences in budget item requests. Maybe some pro-rated allocations can be given to each vice president for smaller items. Then use the PBAC process for larger items. And the process should start at the beginning of fall. AR&P will be coming up with a set of recommendations.
- VP Welter made some positive comments – we like the open budget website. It is difficult to find so maybe mirror it on the other divisions' websites. Sheryl Necochea said we can add open budget to the Google search. The voting process was a good step but should be a graded response. He suggested we should not have BRAT vote on priorities. Their goal is technical and implementation aspects. The changes we made this year were a huge step forward. It was good that the three budget committee chairs were invited to the URC meeting to discuss budget process.
- Interim Dean Conaty said students need a method to understand the budget. Some students don't feel represented by Associated Students. Chief of Staff Santos-Derieg suggested maybe A.S. can hold an open budget meeting for students.
- Who is allowed to vote on budget priorities should be clarified. Voting members of PBAC/AR&P? BRAT?
- We also need to consider how multi-year funding works.
- Divisions would like to see all the institutional budgets; enhanced transparency. Good for VPs to know each others' budgets and the priority of their requests.
- People need to know the process and where to go to submit a request.
- Interim VP Vargas prefers that each VP prioritize its own items since they are more aware of what needs to be done in their divisions
- PBAC members would like each VP to see the other VPs priorities.
- .
- We need to rank everything so if we get extra funding, we can go deeper into the prioritization list.

The funding requests go to the vice presidents and AR&P if they meet next week, and PBAC will vote next Thursday.

## **VII. New Business -**

## **VIII. Reminder**

- **Next Meeting Date – Thursday, May 23<sup>rd</sup> at 2:00 p.m. in Lipinsky SSW-1608. Meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m.**