

CAMPUS FEE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

April 2, 2021

MINUTES

ATTENDEES

Members: David Ely Christian Holt
 Amanda Fuller Abi Tamayo
 Mary Anne Kremicki Armando Sepulveda
 Rashmi Praba Victor Penera
 T'Ante Sims Crystal Sanchez
 Mark Bruno

Guests: Matias Farre
 Francisco Velazquez
 Randall Timm
 Deborah Richeson

The meeting was called to order at 12:03PM. by T'Ante Sims, CFAC Chair

Review and Approval of February 19, 2021 Meeting Minutes

Mr. Sims asked if there was any discussion or questions regarding the February 19th meeting.
Mr. Sims motioned to approve. Mr. Bruno second. Meeting minutes approved.

Informational Items

a. CAT IV – First Year Experience

Dr. Timm let the committee know that they have moved forward with this fee. He stated that with face-to-face instruction resuming in the Fall, they will move forward with the \$250 fee. Summer is still a hybrid program, so orientation will be virtual, but this fee will begin this Summer. Mr. Sims asked if there were any questions. Ms. Tamayo asked if it was possible to see a percentage breakdown on how this fee will be spent. Dr. Timm responded that they went over the breakdown with various people in the past but was happy to go through it again with those interested. Mr. Penera noted that they met several times in the Fall providing feedback on this fee and asked how that feedback was implemented to this fee. He suggested to meet again to go over any changes. Dr. Timm expressed that he was happy to meet and go over it again and noted that the fee included a combination of what they talked about before.

b. CAT V – Parking Fines and Forfeitures

Ms. Richeson introduced this fee noting that every year this fee is looked at and reevaluated to make sure it is consistent with the County. They looked at what needs to be updated in terms of pricing and reevaluating the fines that they currently have. She noted that with the move to LPR they found that there were new kinds of violations that occurred which had them revisit their list. Ms. Richeson then pointed to Attachment 3 where it listed all the Fines and what they were changed to. She then opened it up for any questions. Ms. Tamayo asked if there is an option to share parking permits with other students or if this is not allowed. Ms. Richeson answered that sharing permits is against policy. She continued by noting that they only allow one permit per person. She then noted that they understand that there are a lot of commuters and sometimes they take another family members' vehicle. Because of this, they have allowed for more than one vehicle, but if they continue to see abuse of this rule, they may change that in the future. However, Ms. Richeson, stated that she wanted to avoid doing that because students would then have to go into the system and change what vehicle that permit will cover that day. She found that

this would be a big inconvenience for the students and would like to avoid having to implement that rule. Ms. Tamayo then clarified that a student could put two vehicles under one permit, but there is one permit for person. Ms. Richeson confirmed that is correct and added that it was one permit per household, so you cannot share the permit with a friend. Ms. Tamayo then asked for clarification on the blocking access to DP stall fine. Ms. Richeson clarified that DP stands for "Disabled Person". She continued that they created a few more fines because of people parking in the hashmarks next to these parking spots or blocking them, so they wanted to clearly define what exactly the violation was. Mr. Holt noted that the general violation is going from \$65 to \$70 or \$100 and wanted clarification. Ms. Richeson noted that the general bail amount is \$70, and they noticed that there were a couple that were not consistent with the general price, so those were why the few fines that were originally \$65 were bumped up to \$70. She stated that the one fine that was bumped from \$65 to \$100 for violation of posted signs, that was increased to be consistent with reserved areas. They wanted to make that a stronger penalty to prevent people from parking in areas they have blocked off. Mr. Holt asked if that violation is someone parking in SP parking or is it someone parking in a spot that says reserved on the bottom of the spot. Ms. Richeson provided the example of if there was an event going on and they asked for signage that noted that this space is only for a particular event, and they discover a student parked there, that would be a violation of posted signs. Mr. Holt then asked to clarify that this means it would have to be an actual sign and does not include the parking spots with writing on the ground noting it is reserved. Ms. Richeson confirmed that is correct. Ms. Fuller asked if someone had a handicap placard and you have a posted area as Ms. Richeson described, would they then be allowed to park in that area. Ms. Richeson noted that on their website that if you have a placard and a permit and you are unable to park in an ADA stall because they are all full, you can park in service vendor and SP900. If parked in a different SP area, they would then issue you a warning letting them know to contact parking and they would evaluate and see what kind of accommodation they would need. Ms. Fuller asked if that included the blocked off parking for special events. Ms. Richeson answered that it depends on the situation, but generally if you have an ADA placard you would not be in violation. Mr. Sepulveda asked that if a student is parked in an area that later becomes part of the special event parking, is there a way to ensure that that student does not get penalized. Ms. Richeson answered that usually when they need to block off an area for an event, they would have signs up ahead of time so that people have the opportunity to move their vehicles ahead of time. If there was a situation that a student lives on campus and leaves their vehicle unmoved weeks at a time and they received a ticket, they would be taken care of.

c. CAT V – Housing Fees

Mr. Sims noted that Ms. Cervantes is unable to attend this meeting, however if there were any questions, we would get back to the committee later. He highlighted that the initial payment increase to \$500 is being postponed to 22/23. The initial payment for 21/22 will remain \$375. Mr. Sims then opened it up for discussion or questions. There were none.

d. CAT V – Global Campus Immediate Access Fees

Mr. Sims noted that Ms. Little is unable to attend this meeting, however, he offered to answer any questions regarding this fee. Mr. Sims stated that the Immediate Access fee is saving students money by offering online course materials. The program is offered here on the main campus and is now being implemented to Global Campus. Mr. Holt asked for clarification that the immediate access fees are being expanded to Global Campus. Mr. Sims confirmed that is correct. There were no further questions.

e. CAT IV – Diploma Reissue Fee

Ms. Kremicki noted that the reason for this fee increase that the turnaround time for issuing diplomas is very slow especially in a remote environment. Enrollment Services had reached out to other CSU campuses, Cal State Bernardino, and they were using an outside service. Enrollment Services decided to do the same. Ms. Kremicki noted that this will greatly improve the turnaround time. Currently it takes about two weeks to get a diploma reissued or issued and with this outside service it will take two days. She noted that the fee is going from \$12 to \$20 for a diploma re-issue, \$22 to \$30 for a Latin diploma and they are now offering an E-diploma which will be \$20. Ms. Kremicki added that the fees for this have not

been raised since 1990 and these increases are commensurate to what other institutions are charging. This will greatly improve the turn around time and a better use for the staff we have in enrollment services. Ms. Tamayo asked if the diploma re-issue and Latin diploma are mailed to you. Ms. Kremicki confirmed that these would be mailed to the student. Ms. Tamayo asked that the reason for this is to decrease the turn around rate so that they are received quicker. Ms. Kremicki confirmed that is correct and added that this will increase the turnaround rate for printed diplomas. She then confirmed that the E-diploma would be immediate access. Mr. Sims asked if there were any final comments or questions. There were none.

Action Items

- a. None

Requests

None

New Business

None

Public Comment

Mr. Penera provided a follow up for the past request for a fee to support an HHS course. He noted that Associated Students was able to fully fund this through the Financial Affairs committee at A.S.

Mr. Sims adjourned the meeting at 12:21 P.M.

Reminder: Next meeting is scheduled for Friday, April 16, 2021 at 12:00P.M. via Zoom