

CAMPUS FEE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
January 31, 2011

MINUTES

ATTENDEES

Members:	David Ely Amanda Pascoe Kimberlee Reilly Kevin Gruidl Eric Rivera Ethan Singer	Sean Kashanchi Laura Schofield Debra Bertram Krista Parker Cathie Atkins
Student Alternates:	Chanelle McNutt	
Non voting member:	Ray Rainer	
Guests:	Scott Burns, Assoc V.P. Financial Operations David T. Hayhurst, College of Engineering Dean	Marty Kandes, Student

The meeting was called to order at 2:07 P.M. by Dr. David Ely, CFAC Chair.

Approval of January 21, 2011 CFAC Meeting Minutes (Attachment 1)

The minutes were reviewed. Ms. Schofield made a motion to approve the minutes, which was seconded by Ms. McNutt. The minutes were approved unanimously.

Informational Item

a. Final Proposed Student Success Fee Voter Pamphlet (distributed at meeting)

Dr. Ely presented last meeting's subcommittee minutes, which reflect how the subcommittee handled pro/con statements received after the deadline on Friday at 10:00 AM. The subcommittee voted to include the statements received between 10:00 AM and the start of the subcommittee meeting (2:00 pm) to make a stronger informative pamphlet. One pro and one con statement was selected from each college; the authors are listed in the minutes, with a last minute change to the con statement from the College of Engineering. The con statement initially selected was withdrawn by its author and another author was contacted. The final proposed Student Success Fee Voter Pamphlet reflects this con statement.

The committee reviewed the voter pamphlet and correct a few grammatical and typographical errors. Also, FSAE will be spelled out with authors' approval. The voter pamphlet is to be formatted for publishing promptly after this meeting.

All selected authors were contacted and invited to submit a rebuttal (Dr. Ely).

Dr. Atkins asked if these were fact or opinion statements, since there seems to be a misunderstanding regarding who proposed this fee. This fee was proposed by the college deans, so the rebuttal statement is true (Ms. Parker).

Ms. Parker made the motion to approve the voter pamphlet with the discussed corrections; the motion was seconded by Ms. Bertram. There were no objections and one abstention; the motion passed.

Mr. Rainer reported that the voter pamphlet will go out for print first thing in the morning and will be distributed on Friday or Monday, depending on when the printing is done; it will be up on the web as soon as possible. The voting process will be verified (checking Red IDs, pre-majors and majors).

Several concerns over the timeline were raised. Voting on referenda does not coincide with AS elections to get more voters to understand what's going on, to avoid confusion and to avoid the fee being used as a campaign tool (Mr. Kashanchi).

Regarding accepting pro statements after the deadline of 10:00 AM Friday, Mr. Kashanchi asked about the subcommittee's basis on allowing this. The subcommittee opted for the best pamphlet possible by having pro and con statements for each of the colleges. Mr. Kandes expressed concern over the College of Sciences calling students after the deadline to submit pro statements. Mr. Kashanchi agreed that this is a valid point, but anyone can tell a student to submit a pro/con statement; ultimately every student makes his/her own decision.

Mr. Kandes asked CFAC to consider instituting a policy regarding the time allotted for pro/con statements; a week went very fast. He saw the language in the CFAC site a day before the pro/con statement and the email to students had gone the day before.

New Business

Mr. Kashanchi announced that they were informed that the last time President Weber spoke with Chancellor Reed, he mentioned that if a new student fee were to pass at a university the chancellor was unlikely to approve it. This will be brought up at the executive committee and the AS Council, so it becomes public information. Regardless of this fee passing, Chancellor Reed has informed the university that he is unlikely to approve it; however things could change with the new budget coming out.

Ms. Parker made the motion to adjourn the meeting, which was seconded by Mr. Kashanchi. The meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

Reminder: Next Meeting is scheduled for Friday, February 4 at 2:00 PM in Lipinsky Hospitality Center SS-1608.