### **APPENDIX X**

Notice of Entry of Peremptory Writ of Mandate,
(December 4, 2015);
Notice of Entry of Judgment,
(December 4, 2015)

1 2 3	SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN JOHN F. KIRK, General Counsel, State Bar 401 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 699-1997 Facsimile: (619) 699-1995	
4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11   12	Attorney for Petitioner/Appellant SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN THE SOHAGI LAW GROUP, PLC MARGARET M. SOHAGI, State Bar No. 12 PHILIP A. SEYMOUR, State Bar No. 11660 NICOLE H. GORDON, State Bar No. 24003 11999 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 150 Los Angeles, California 90049-5136 Telephone: (310) 475-5700 Facsimile: (310) 475-5707  Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT	26336 06 56 NMENTS and
13   14   15   16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25	FOR THE COUNT DEL CERRO ACTION COUNCIL,  Petitioners,  v.  BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,  Respondents.  AND ALL CONSOLIDATED ACTIONS.	CASE NOS.: GIC 855643 (Lead Case) [Consolidated with Case Nos. GIC 855701; 37-207-00083692-CU-WM-CTL 37-2007-00083768-CU-TT-CTL; 37-2007-00083773-CU-MC-CTL]  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATH (CEQA Matter Under Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq.)  DEPT.: N-28 JUDGE: N-28 JUDGE: Hon. Earl H. Maas III
26 27 28		

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE

### TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 30, 2015 the Court signed the [Proposed] Peremptory Writ of Mandate. Please see Exhibit A for the signed Peremptory Writ of Mandate.

DATED: Dec 4, 2015 THE SOHAGI LAW GROUP, PLC

By:

NICOLE H. GORDON
Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants
SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF
GOVERNMENTS and SAN DIEGO
METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM

ſ	· ,	
1 2 3	SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN JOHN F. KIRK, General Counsel, State Bar N 401 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 699-1997 Facsimile: (619) 699-1995	No. 149667
4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111	Attorney for Petitioner/Appellant SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN.  THE SOHAGI LAW GROUP, PLC MARGARET M. SOHAGI, State Bar No. 126 PHILIP A. SEYMOUR, State Bar No. 116606 NICOLE H. GORDON, State Bar No. 240056 11999 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 150 Los Angeles, California 90049-5136 Telephone: (310) 475-5700 Facsimile: (310) 475-5707  Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNI SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT	MENTS and
12 13 14		THE STATE CALIFORNIA  I'Y OF SAN DIEGO
15 16 17 18 19	DEL CERRO ACTION COUNCIL,  Petitioners,  v.  BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	CASE NOS.: GIC 855643 (Lead Case) [Consolidated with Case Nos. GIC 855701; 37-207-00083692-CU-WM-CTL; 37-2007- 00083768-CU-TT-CTL; 37-2007- 00083773-CU-MC-CTL]  [PROPOSED] PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE  (CEQA Matter Under Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq.)
21 22 23 24 25	Respondents.  AND ALL CONSOLIDATED ACTIONS.	DEPT.: N-28 JUDGE: Hon, Earl H. Maas III

To respondents the BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY ("Board"):

Whereas, Judgment having been entered in this proceeding, ordering that a writ of mandate be issued from this Court, and

Whereas, the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA," Public Resources Code §§21000 et seq.), section 21168.9, authorizes a mandate that the determination, finding, or decision of the Board be voided in whole or in part upon certain findings,

IT IS ORDERED that, immediately upon service of this writ and at its next regularly scheduled meeting at which proper legal notice of this action can be provided, respondents Board of Trustees of the California State University shall take the following actions:

- 1. Set aside and vacate your December 14, 2007 approval of the San Diego State University ("SDSU") Campus Master Plan and your findings for approval of the SDSU Campus Master Plan.
- 2. Decertify the Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") for the SDSU Campus Master Plan but only with respect to the specific issues described in paragraph 3 (a) through (c) below.
- 3. Prior to the Board taking any action to reapprove a Campus Master Plan for the SDSU Campus, the Board, in any EIR, will proceed in accordance with the standards and procedures required by CEQA, including its provisions for public comment, and make all required findings in good faith and on the basis of substantial evidence as to those issues described in paragraph 3 (a) through (c) below:
- (a) Traffic: In response to the decision rendered by the California Supreme Court on August 3, 2015 (Case No. S199557), the Board, based on a reevaluation of the off-site mitigation measures and further good faith negotiations with the City of San Diego, the San Diego Association of Governments, and the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, will reassess SDSU's fair-share of such mitigation costs (and, based on the record here, forego financial infeasibility arguments as to such costs in

this case), consistent with the views expressed in the Supreme Court's decision;

- (b) **Transit:** Evaluate the potential transit impacts of the Campus Master Plan consistent with CEQA and the directives contained in the decision rendered by the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, on December 13, 2011 (D057446); and
- (c) Transportation Demand Management: Re-evaluate the transportation demand management mitigation measure in the Final EIR consistent with the directives contained in the decision rendered by the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, on December 13, 2011 (D057446).
- 4. The court hereby makes the necessary findings relative to the mandate issued under paragraphs 1, 2, and 3.
- 5. Upon completion of those actions necessary to comply with CEQA and the decisions rendered by the California Supreme Court and Court of Appeal as directed by this writ, take any and all further action that may be necessary to bring SDSU into compliance with CEQA in response to the directives contained in the decisions of the Court of Appeal and the California Supreme Court.
- 6. File a return to this writ of mandate informing the Court of what actions you have taken or intend to take to comply with the writ of mandate. Said return shall be filed no later than 90 days after entry of Judgment. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter until it determines that you have completed all of the foregoing actions in the manner required by CEQA.

DATED: 11-30-15

HONORABLE EARL H. MAAS III Judge of the Superior Court

1	1	
2	Approved as to form and subs	tance;
3	October <u>30</u> , 2015	THE SOHAGI LAW GRAUP, PLC
5		By: Mala
6		NICOLE H. GORDON Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants
7		SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF
8		GOVERNMENTS and SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
9		
10	Approved as to form and subst	tance:
11		
12	October <u>30</u> , 2015	OFFICE OF THE SAN DIEGO CITY ATTORNEY
13		By: Church Lean o
14		Christine Leone, Chief Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants
15		CITY OF SAN DIEGO and
16		REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
17	Amount of no to found and and	
18	Approved as to form and subst	tance;
19	October, 2015	GATZKE DILLON & BALLANCE LLP
20		By:
21		Michael S. Haberkorn
22		Attorneys for Defendant/Respondent BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE
23		CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
24		
25	W:\C\192\012\00376345.DOC	
26		
27		
28		
1		PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE

1 2	Approved as to form and sub	ostance:	
3	October, 2015	THE SOHAGI LAW GROUP, PLC	
4		Ву:	
5	·	NICOLE H. GORDON	
6		Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF	
7		GOVERNMENTS and SAN DIEGO	
8		METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM	
9			
10	Approved as to form and sub	stance:	
11			
12	October, 2015	OFFICE OF THE SAN DIEGO CITY ATTORNEY	
13		Ву:	
14		Christine Leone, Chief Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants	
15		CITY OF SAN DIEGO and	
16		REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO	
17			
18	Approved as to form and sub	stance;	
19	October 30, 2015	GATZKE DILLOW & BALLANCE LLP	
20			
21		By: Michael S. Haberkorn	
22		Attorneys for Defendant/Respondent	
23		BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY	
24			
25	W:\C\192\012\00376345,DQC		
26	11.6.172.11.12.1003.10343,000		
27			
28			
	Manufacture and the second	<u></u>	
,	PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE		

#### 1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 SS. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 4 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 5 11999 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 150, Los Angeles, California 90049. 6 On October 30, 2015, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as 7 [PROPOSED] PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE on the interested parties in this action as follows: 8 🖾 BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to 9 the persons at the addresses listed in the Sérvice List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with The Sohagi Law Group, PLC's practice for collecting and processing 10 correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United 11 States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. 12 ☐ BY FAX TRANSMISSION: I faxed a copy of the document(s) to the persons at the 13 fax numbers listed in the Service List. The telephone number of the sending facsimile machine was (310) 475-5707. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. 14 BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the 15 document(s) to be sent from e-mail address ngordon@sohagi.com to the persons at the email addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after 16 the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. 17 ☐ BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I enclosed said document(s) in an envelope or 18 package provided by the overnight service carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List. I placed the envelope or package for collection and 19 overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight service carrier or delivered such document(s) to a courier or driver authorized by the overnight 20 service carrier to receive documents. 21 ☐ BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I personally delivered the document(s) directly to the person(s) being served. 22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 23 foregoing is true and correct. 24 Executed on October 30, 2015, at Los Angeles, California. 25 Nicole Gordon 26 Printed Name Signature 27

28

W:\C\192\012\00376345,DOC

27

28

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ) ss.
5	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is
6	11999 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 150, Los Angeles, California 90049.
7	On December 4, 2015, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as <b>NOTICE OF ENTRY OF PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE</b> on the interested parties in this action as follows:
9	☑ BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar
10 11	with The Sohagi Law Group, PLC's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
12 13	☐ BY FAX TRANSMISSION: I faxed a copy of the document(s) to the persons at the fax numbers listed in the Service List. The telephone number of the sending facsimile machine was (310) 475-5707. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
14 15 16 17	☑ BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address cmcaleece@sohagi.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
18 19 20	☐ BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I enclosed said document(s) in an envelope or package provided by the overnight service carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight service carrier or delivered such document(s) to a courier or driver authorized by the overnight service carrier to receive documents.
21	☐ BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I personally delivered the document(s) directly to the person(s) being served.
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
24	Executed on December 4, 2015, at Los Angeles, California.
25 26	Cheron McAleece Cheron McAleece
27	Printed Name Signature
20	

1 SERVICE LIST 2 ATTORNEYS FOR John F. Kirk, General Counsel PETITIONERS AND SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF 3 APPELLANTS SAN DIEGO **GOVERNMENTS** ASSOCIATION OF 4 401 B Street, Suite 800 GOVERNMENTS San Diego, California 92101 5 Telephone: (619) 699-1997 Facsimile: (619) 699-1995 6 Email: iki@sandag.org ATTORNEYS FOR Karen Landers, General Counsel 8 PETITIONERS AND SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT APPELLANTS SAN DIEGO 9 SYSTEM METROPOLITAN TRANSIT 1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000 SYSTEM 10 San Diego, California 92101-7490 Telephone: (619) 231-1466 11 Facsimile: (619) 234-3407 12 Email: karen.landers@sdmts.com 13 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT Michael S. Haberkorn AND RESPONDENT BOARD OF 14 Mark J. Dillion TRUSTEES OF THE Danielle K. Morone CALIFORNIA STATE 15 GATZKE DILLON & BALLANCE LLP UNIVERSITY 2762 Gateway Road 16 Carlsbad, California 92009 17 Telephone: (760) 431-9501 Facsimile: (760) 431-9512 18 Email: mhaberkorn@gdandb.com Email: mdillion@gdandb.com 19 Email: dmorone@gdandb.com 20 ATTORNEYS FOR Christine Leone, Chief Deputy City Attorney 21 ETITIONERS AND Jan I. Goldsmith, City Attorney PPELLANTS CITY OF SAN OFFICE OF THE SAN DIEGO CITY 22 DIEGO AND REDEVELOPMENT ATTORNEY AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN 23 1200 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1100 DIEGO San Diego, California 92101 24 Telephone: (619) 533-5800 Facsimile: (619) 533-5856 25 Email: Leonec@sandiego.gov 26 Email: GoldsmithJ@sandiego.gov 27 28 W:\C\192\012\00381699.DOC

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN JOHN F. KIRK, General Counsel, State Bar 401 B Street, Suite 800 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 699-1997 Facsimile: (619) 699-1995 Attorney for Petitioner/Appellant SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN THE SOHAGI LAW GROUP, PLC MARGARET M. SOHAGI, State Bar No. 11 PHILIP A. SEYMOUR, State Bar No. 11660 NICOLE H. GORDON, State Bar No. 24003 11999 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 150 Los Angeles, California 90049-5136 Telephone: (310) 475-5700 Facsimile: (310) 475-5707  Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN	No. 149667  NMENTS  26336 06 56	
11	SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT	SYSTEM	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE C	CALIFORNIA
13	FOR THE COUNT	TY OF SAN D	IEGO
14 15 16	DEL CERRO ACTION COUNCIL, Petitioners,	[Consolidat 855701; 37-2007-00	6.: GIC 855643 (Lead Case) ed with Case Nos. GIC -207-00083692-CU-WM-CTL; 083768-CU-TT-CTL; 37- 6773-CU-MC-CTL]
17	v.		OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT
18 19	BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,		tter Under Public Resources
20	Respondents.	DEPT.: JUDGE:	N-28 Hon. Earl H. Maas III
21 22 23 24 25 26	AND ALL CONSOLIDATED ACTIONS.		
27			
28			

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

### TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 19, 2015 the Court signed the [Proposed] Judgment. Please see Exhibit A for the signed Judgment.

DATED: Dec. 4, 2015 THE SOHAGILAW GROUP, PLC

By:

NICOLE H. GORDON Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS and SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM

	· ·
JOHN F. KIRK, General Counsel, State Bar M 401 B Street, Suite 800	No. 149667
Telephone: (619) 699-1997 Facsimile: (619) 699-1995	Clerk of the Superior Court
Attorney for Petitioner/Appellant	NOV 1 9 2015
l .	MENTS BY Noreen McKinley, Deputy
MARGARET M. SOHAGI, State Bar No. 124	5336
NICOLE H. GORDON, State Bar No. 240056	5 5
Los Angeles, California 90049-5136	
Facsimile: (310) 475-5700	
Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants	
SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT	MENTS and
	THE CTATE CALIFORNIA
	THE STATE CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNT	TY OF SAN DIEGO
DEL CERRO ACTION COUNCIL,	CASE NOS.: GIC 855643 (Lead Case) [Consolidated with Case Nos. GIC 855701;
Petitioners,	37-207-00083692-CU-WM-CTL; 37-2007- 00083768-CU-TT-CTL: 37-2007-
v.	00083773-CU-MC-CTĹ]
BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	(CEQA Matter Under Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq.)
Respondents,	DEPT.: N-28
ANTOLITY	JUDGE: Hon. Earl H. Maas III
AND ALL CONSOLIDATED ACTIONS.	
	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 699-1997 Facsimile: (619) 699-1995  Attorney for Petitioner/Appellant SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN  THE SOHAGI LAW GROUP, PLC MARGARET M. SOHAGI, State Bar No. 126 PHILIP A. SEYMOUR, State Bar No. 116606 NICOLE H. GORDON, State Bar No. 240056 11999 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 150 Los Angeles, California 90049-5136 Telephone: (310) 475-5700 Facsimile: (310) 475-5707  Attorneys for Petitioners/Appellants SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERN SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT S  SUPERIOR COURT OF T  FOR THE COUNT  DEL CERRO ACTION COUNCIL,  Petitioners,  v.  BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

The parties to this consolidated action are petitioners the City of San Diego ("City"), the San Diego Association of Governments ("SANDAG"), and the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), and respondents the Board of Trustees of the California State University ("Board"). Petitioners brought this action under the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA," Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq.), and specifically contend that respondent CSU failed to comply with CEQA in connection with actions taken by the Board on November 14, 2007 to approve a Campus Master Plan for San Diego State University ("SDSU") (the "Project") and certifying an Environmental Impact Report for the same.

On December 14, 2007, petitioners City, SANDAG and MTS filed petitions for writ of mandate in the San Diego Superior Court challenging the Board's decision to certify the 2007 EIR. After consolidating the petitions, the court issued a statement of decision and judgment rejecting all of petitioners' claims, denying the petitions for writ of mandate, and discharging a prior 2006 peremptory writ. Petitioners appealed the superior court's decision.

On December 13, 2011, the Court of Appeal issued a decision reversing in part, affirming in part, and directing the superior court to issue a writ of mandate ordering the Board to vacate its decision certifying the 2007 EIR. The Board petitioned the California Supreme Court for review of the Court of Appeal's decision.

On April 18, 2012, the Supreme Court granted the Board's petition for review. After extensive briefing, the Supreme Court heard oral argument on May 26, 2015. All parties appeared at oral argument through counsel.

On August 3, 2015, the Supreme Court issued its Opinion affirming the judgment of the Court of Appeal for reasons set forth in detail in its Opinion. The Supreme Court's decision became final on September 2, 2015.

On September 4, 2015, the Supreme Court issued a remittitur to the Court of Appeal, revesting jurisdiction in this Court.

On September 11, 2015, the Court of Appeal issued a remitter to this Court.

For the reasons set forth in the Supreme Court's Opinion, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED:

- 1. A writ of mandate shall issue forthwith directing respondent Board to set aside its December 14, 2007 approval of the SDSU Campus Master Plan and its findings for approval of the SDSU Campus Master Plan. The writ also shall direct respondent Board to set aside its certification of the Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") for the SDSU Campus Master Plan, but only with respect to the specific issues described in paragraph 2 a. (i) through (iii) below.
  - 2. The writ of mandate shall further direct that:
- a. Prior to the Board taking any action to reapprove a Campus Master Plan for the SDSU Campus, Respondent shall, in any EIR, proceed in accordance with the standards and procedures required by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA," Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq.), including its provisions for public comment, and make all required findings in good faith and on the basis of substantial evidence as to those issues described in paragraph 2 a. (i) through (iii) below:
  - (i) Traffic: In response to the decision rendered by the California Supreme Court on August 3, 2015 (Case No. S199557), the Board, based on a re-evaluation of the off-site mitigation measures and further good faith negotiations with the City of San Diego, the San Diego Association of Governments, and the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, will reassess SDSU's fair-share of such mitigation costs (and, based on the record here, forego financial infeasibility arguments as to such costs in this case), consistent with the views expressed in the Supreme Court's decision;
    - (ii) Transit: Evaluate the potential transit impacts of the Campus Master Plan consistent with CEQA and the directives contained in the decision rendered by the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, on December 13, 2011 (D057446); and
    - (iii) Transportation Demand Management: Re-evaluate the transportation demand management mitigation measure in the Final EIR consistent with the

directives contained in the decision rendered by the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, on December 13, 2011 (D057446).

- b. Upon completion of those actions necessary to comply with CEQA and the decisions rendered by the California Supreme Court and Court of Appeal as directed by this writ, the Board shall take any and all further action that may be necessary to bring SDSU into compliance with CEQA in response to the directives contained in the decisions of the Court of Appeal and the California Supreme Court.
- c. Pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21168.9(b), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce compliance with the writ of mandate. To that end, respondent shall file a return to the writ of mandate informing the Court of what actions it has taken or intends to take to comply with the writ of mandate no later than 90 days after entry of this Judgment.
- 3. Petitioners are awarded costs as provided by statute. Any motions for attorney fees shall be filed in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure.

DATED: 11-19-15

HONORABLE EARL H. MAAS III
Judge of the Superior Court

Approved as to form and substance:

# PROOF OF SERVICE

2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
3	) ss. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
5	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 11999 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 150, Los Angeles, California 90049.
6	
7	On October 30, 2015, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT on the interested parties in this action as follows:
8	⊠ BY MAIL; I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to
9	the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with The Sohagi Law Group, PLC's practice for collecting and processing
10	correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
12	☐ BY FAX TRANSMISSION: I faxed a copy of the document(s) to the persons at the
13	fax numbers listed in the Service List. The telephone number of the sending facsimile machine was (310) 475-5707. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
14	■ BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the
15	document(s) to be sent from e-mail address ngordon@sohagi.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after
16	the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
17	☐ BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I enclosed said document(s) in an envelope or
18	package provided by the overnight service carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight service
19	carrier or delivered such document(s) to a courier or driver authorized by the overnight service carrier to receive documents.
20	
21	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I personally delivered the document(s) directly to the person(s) being served.
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
23	foregoing is true and correct.
24	Executed on October 30, 2015, at Los Angeles, California.
25	Nicole Gordon Mui
26	Printed Name Signature
27	
28	

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

### SERVICE LIST

_	SERVICE LIST			
2	John F. Kirk, General Counsel SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF	ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS AND		
4	GOVERNMENTS 401 B Street, Suite 800	APPELLANTS SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF		
5	San Diego, California 92101	GOVERNMENTS		
6	Telephone: (619) 699-1997 Facsimile: (619) 699-1995			
7	Email: jki@sandag.org			
8	Karen Landers, General Counsel	ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS AND		
9	SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM	APPELLANTS SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT		
10	1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000 San Diego, California 92101-7490	SYSTEM SYSTEM		
11	Telephone: (619) 231-1466 Facsimile: (619) 234-3407			
12	Email: karen.landers@sdmts.com			
13	Michael S. Haberkorn	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT		
14	Mark J. Dillion Danielle K. Morone	AND RESPONDENT BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE		
15	GATZKE DILLON & BALLANCE LLP	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY		
16	2762 Gateway Road Carlsbad, California 92009			
17	Telephone: (760) 431-9501 Facsimile: (760) 431-9512			
18	Email: mhaberkorn@gdandb.com			
19	Email: mdillion@gdandb.com Email: dmorone@gdandb.com			
20   21	Christine Leone, Chief Deputy City Attorney	ATTORNEYS FOR		
22	Jan I. Goldsmith, City Attorney OFFICE OF THE SAN DIEGO CITY	PETITIONERS AND APPELLANTS CITY OF SAN		
23	ATTORNEY	DIEGO AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN		
24	1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101	DIEGO		
25	Telephone: (619) 533-5800 Facsimile: (619) 533-5856			
26	Email: Leonec@sandiego.gov			
27	Email: GoldsmithJ@sandiego.gov			
28	W.\C\192\012\00376409,DOC	•		

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
3 4	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ) ss.
5	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is
6	11999 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 150, Los Angeles, California 90049.
7 8	On December 4, 2015, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as <b>NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT</b> on the interested parties in this action as follows:
9 10 11	⊠ BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with The Sohagi Law Group, PLC's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for
12	collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
13 14	☐ BY FAX TRANSMISSION: I faxed a copy of the document(s) to the persons at the fax numbers listed in the Service List. The telephone number of the sending facsimile machine was (310) 475-5707. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
15 16 17	☑ BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address cmcaleece@sohagi.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
18 19 20	☐ BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I enclosed said document(s) in an envelope or package provided by the overnight service carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight service carrier or delivered such document(s) to a courier or driver authorized by the overnight service carrier to receive documents.
21	☐ BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I personally delivered the document(s) directly to the person(s) being served.
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
24	Executed on December 4, 2015, at Los Angeles, California.
25	
26	Cheron McAleece Printed Name Signature
27	1 Timed Ivanie Signature
28	

1 SERVICE LIST 2 ATTORNEYS FOR John F. Kirk, General Counsel PETITIONERS AND 3 SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF APPELLANTS SAN DIEGO GOVERNMENTS ASSOCIATION OF 4 401 B Street, Suite 800 GOVERNMENTS San Diego, California 92101 5 Telephone: (619) 699-1997 6 Facsimile: (619) 699-1995 Email: jki@sandag.org 7 ATTORNEYS FOR Karen Landers, General Counsel 8 PETITIONERS AND SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT APPELLANTS SAN DIEGO 9 SYSTEM METROPOLITAN TRANSIT 1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000 SYSTEM 10 San Diego, California 92101-7490 Telephone: (619) 231-1466 11 Facsimile: (619) 234-3407 12 Email: karen.landers@sdmts.com 13 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT Michael S. Haberkorn AND RESPONDENT BOARD OF Mark J. Dillion 14 TRUSTEES OF THE Danielle K. Morone CALIFORNIA STATE 15 GATZKE DILLON & BALLANCE LLP UNIVERSITY 2762 Gateway Road 16 Carlsbad, California 92009 17 Telephone: (760) 431-9501 Facsimile: (760) 431-9512 18 Email: mhaberkorn@gdandb.com Email: mdillion@gdandb.com 19 Email: dmorone@gdandb.com 20 ATTORNEYS FOR Christine Leone, Chief Deputy City Attorney 21 PETITIONERS AND Jan I. Goldsmith, City Attorney APPELLANTS CITY OF SAN OFFICE OF THE SAN DIEGO CITY 22 DIEGO AND REDEVELOPMENT ATTORNEY AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN 23 1200 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1100 DIEGO San Diego, California 92101 24 Telephone: (619) 533-5800 Facsimile: (619) 533-5856 25 Email: Leonec@sandiego.gov 26 Email: GoldsmithJ@sandiego.gov 27 28 W:\C\192\012\00381698.DOC