CAMPUS FEE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

September 20, 2019

MINUTES

ATTENDEES

Members: David Ely Radmila Prislin

Tony Chung T'Ante Sims
Mark Wheeler Mark Bruno
Christian Onwuka Kyla Blaylock
Angelica Espinoza Dustin Adkins
George Scott Sophie Chance

Christian Holt

Non-voting Members: Dana Smith

Guests: Suzanne Goulet Chip Pierce
Jessica Nare Jennifer Rikard

Andrea Doolev Matias Farre

Crystal Little

The meeting was called to order at 11:06 A.M. by Christian Onwuka, CFAC Chair.

Review and Approval of September 6th Meeting Minutes

Mr. Onwuka introduced and reviewed the September 6th meeting minutes and asked if there were any questions; there were none.

Dr. Wheeler moved to approve the minutes and Ms. Espinoza seconded; the motion was approved unanimously.

Informational Items

a. None

Requests

a. None

Action Items

- a. Recommendation of Alternative Consultation for Student Health & Wellness and Instructionally Related Activities Fee Proposals
- Mr. Onwuka introduced the proposals and asked for a motion to open discussion.
- Ms. Blaylock moved and Mr. Adkins seconded.
- Dr. Dooley thanked the subcommittee for reviewing the proposals and providing feedback; she stated that the proposals were amended based on the feedback but no substantive changes were made.
- Ms. Little clarified that this action item is to approve recommendation of the alternative consultation process and the second action item is the review and approval of the voter pamphlet.
- Dr. Wheeler moved to approve the alternative consultation process and Ms. Blaylock seconded; the motion was approved with one abstention.
 - b. Review Fee Proposal Voter Pamphlets
- Mr. Onwuka introduced the voter pamphlets and asked for a motion to open discussion.
- Mr. Scott moved to review the voter pamphlets and Ms. Espinoza seconded.

The committee reviewed, discussed, and revised the voter pamphlets for content, consistency, and clarity.

The motion was approved unanimously.

New Business

Dr. Dooley asked the committee for feedback on whether or not pro-con statements would be appropriate and asked Ms. Little to explain the process.

Ms. Little explained that Executive Order 1102 requires pro-con statements for a fee referendum but not for alternative consultation. She added that pro-con statements add value because they provide an opportunity for students to voice their opinion. She further explained the process of soliciting, reviewing/approving, and publishing the statements and rebuttals.

Mr. Holt expressed his support for pro-con statements as they provide for increased transparency.

Ms. Blaylock expressed concern that if no statements are received, then the process would be an inefficient use of time

Dr. Ely noted that some students may feel that the presentations are biased because they are being delivered by those in support of the fees so a con statement would present an opposing view.

Mr. Scott expressed concern that language used in the pro-con statements may unduly influence student votes; Ms. Little clarified that submitted statements are reviewed for factual accuracy, spelling, and grammar and any changes must be approved by the submitter.

Mr. Adkins expressed his support for pro-con statements for representation of a balanced view.

Dr. Dooley stated that pro-con statements will be solicited.

Public Comment

None

Mr. Onwuka adjourned the meeting at 11:58 A.M.

Reminder: Next meeting is scheduled for Friday, October 4th, at 11:00 A.M. in Student Services West – Room 2620.