CAMPUS FEE ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
September 12, 2014

ATTENDEES

Members: David Ely  Kimberlee Reilly  
Kathy LaMaster  Linda Lewiston  
J. Cole  Kevin Hancock  
Alex Padua  Jose Preciado  
Corey Polant  Kyle Murphy  
Alex Johnstone

Non-voting member: Crystal Little

Guests: Agnes Wong Nickerson

The meeting was called to order at 2:01 P.M. by Kimberlee Reilly, CFAC Chair.

Informational Items

a. Student Success Fee Implementation

AVP LaMaster gave an overview of the student success fee, which is currently being implemented in fall 2014. AVP LaMaster reiterated that 90% of the funds will be designated for tenure-track hires and to increase course sections. AVP LaMaster also talked about the process for the 10% and noted that there will be approximately $300K available. AVP LaMaster discussed the course sections for fall 2014 (page 2 of attachment 1 of the agenda); the list of courses was derived from input from the colleges and feedback provided from the alternative consultation process. AVP LaMaster asked CFAC to review and provide feedback on the presentation of the course sections for fall 2014 as the goal is to post the information on the student success fee website. Ms. Little suggested that the 31 course sections for spring be added to represent a total of 90 course sections, AVP LaMaster agreed. AVP LaMaster pointed out the information box for the added lecture sections which shows that the student success fee is a collaborative effort by the campus as a whole. CFAC approved the posting of the course sections for fall 2014 with the noted changes.

AVP LaMaster discussed page 1 of attachment 1 of the agenda, which is the student success fee supports tenure track faculty searches AY 2015-16. AVP LaMaster shared that the document is part of CFAC’s accountability process for the student success fee. AVP LaMaster asked for feedback from CFAC on the presentation of the information before it is posted on the student success fee website. CFAC discussed and approved the presentation of tenure track faculty searches for AY 2015-16.

AVP LaMaster discussed the academically related program committees (page 3-5 of attachment 1) document which shows students how college committees were formed and also provides contact information for each college. This document will also be posted on the student success fee website. AVP LaMaster noted that fall 2014 is the pilot year of the student success fee and the goal is to do a complete review of the student success fee process in spring 2015 so that best practices are identified and policies and procedures can be adjusted for fall 2015. AVP LaMaster asked that CFAC review the document and provide feedback. CFAC approved the posting of the document on the student success fee website.

AVP LaMaster discussed the sub-committee which will consist of the CFAC student members, AVP LaMaster, Linda Lewiston and a faculty/senate representative as official voting members and that other CFAC members may participate as non-voting members. AVP LaMaster shared that the goal of the subcommittee will be to review proposals submitted by the colleges with the intent to send approval notifications prior to winter break. AVP LaMaster shared the dates for the subcommittee meetings and invited other CFAC members to attend if their schedule allows.

Old Business

AVP LaMaster shared information about study abroad fees. AVP LaMaster reiterated that the underlying issue with study abroad is the cost and accessibility for the students. AVP LaMaster worked with CES to get information about program costs and there are programs that range from $500 to $11,000. AVP LaMaster also shared that the students are not limited to which study abroad program they choose. AVP LaMaster shared that there are scholarships that could be utilized. Mr. Preciado mentioned that as requirements for majors continue to encourage international experiences, there’s an inequity in which students have access to study abroad programs. AVP Wong-Nickerson shared that there’s no perfect model with respect to the study abroad fees with the current resources.

Ms. Reilly made the motion to adjourn the meeting, which was moved by Mr. Cole and seconded by Mr. Hancock. The meeting adjourned at 2:42 P.M.